ACTUAL PERFORMANCE FOR OUTPUT/EFFICIENCY MEASURES 360 - State Office of Administrative Hearings Fiscal Year 2022 10/6/2022

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 360	Agency name: State Office	Agency name: State Office of Administrative Hearings					
Type/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2022 Target	2022 Actual	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range		
Output Measures							
1-1-1 CONDUCT HEARIN 1 NUMBER OF HOURS							
Quarter 1	74,300.00	18,095.75	18,095.75	24.35 %	14,860.00 - 22,290.00		
Quarter 2	74,300.00	18,479.00	36,574.75	49.23 %	33,435.00 - 40,865.00		
Quarter 3	74,300.00	19,155.25	55,730.00	75.01 %	52,010.00 - 59,440.00		
Quarter 4	74,300.00	19,103.75	74,833.75	100.72 %	70,585.00 - 78,015.00		
2 # ADM LICNSE REV	CASES DISPOSED						
Quarter 1	22,800.00	6,377.00	6,377.00	27.97 %	4,560.00 - 6,840.00		
Quarter 2	22,800.00	6,194.00	12,571.00	55.14 % *	10,260.00 - 12,540.00		

Explanation of Variance: This number appears to be influenced in part by clearing out cases that were not fully addressed during the pandemic, but may also reflect a higher number of drunk-driving arrests as the economy becomes more active.

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

gency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of	of Administrative Hearings				
ype/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2022 Target	2022 Actual	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range	
Output Measures						
2 # ADM LICNSE REV CA	ASES DISPOSED					
Quarter 3	22,800.00	6,837.00	19,408.00	85.12 % *	15,960.00 - 18,240.00	
	22,800.00 <u>ariance:</u> This number is on the uptic COVID-19 related lows.	6,577.00 k, and marks an increase from r	25,985.00 numbers impacted by t	113.97 % * he pandemic; we believe that case le	21,660.00 - 23,940.00 evels are	
3 NUMBER OF GENERAL	L CASES DISPOSED					
Quarter 1	4,900.00	810.00	810.00	16.53 % *	980.00 - 1,470.00	
Explanation of V in agency referra	<u>ariance:</u> This number is similar to or ls.	ther years during the pandemic	and, while lower than a	goals, is likely due to COVID-19-re	lated decreases	
Quarter 2	4,900.00	891.00	1,701.00	34.71 % *	2,205.00 - 2,695.00	
Explanation of V	Variance: This number is similar to of	ther years during the pandemic	and, while lower than	goals, is likely due to COVID-19-rel	lated decreases	

<sup>\*</sup> Varies by 5% or more from target.

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

ency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of	Administrative Hearings			
ype/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2022 Target	2022 Actual	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Putput Measures					
3 NUMBER OF GENERAL	CASES DISPOSED				
Quarter 3	4,900.00	1,091.00	2,792.00	56.98 % *	3,430.00 - 3,920.00
	4,900.00 riance: While this number has been a dip in referrals by other agencies du	-	-	74.14 % *	4,655.00 - 5,145.00 emic, it
4 % OF AVAIL ALJ TIME S	PENT ON CASES				
Quarter 1	75.00 %	80.67 %	80.67 %	107.56 % *	71.25 - 78.75
-	riance: ALJs have been able to devo amount of travel and administrative		r time to case-related we	ork because remote work and video h	nearings
Quarter 2	75.00 %	82.27 %	81.47 %	108.63 % *	71.25 - 78.75

<sup>\*</sup> Varies by 5% or more from target.

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

ency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of	Administrative Hearings			
<b>be</b> / <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2022 Target	2022 Actual	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
utput Measures					
4 % OF AVAIL ALJ TIME	E SPENT ON CASES				
Quarter 3	75.00 %	80.04 %	81.00 %	108.00 % *	71.25 - 78.75
Explanation of and travel requi	Variance: ALJs have been able to devo irements.	te a greater percentage of their	time to case-related we	ork, due to decreased administrative for	unctions
Quarter 4	75.00 %	80.89 %	81.00 %	108.00 % *	71.25 - 78.75
Explanation of and travel requi	Variance: ALJs have been able to devo irements.	te a greater percentage of their	time to case-related wo	ork, due to decreased administrative for	unctions
6 NUMBER OF TAX DE	CISIONS ISSUED				
Quarter 1	377.00	86.00	86.00	22.81 %	75.40 - 113.10
Quarter 2	377.00	58.00	144.00	38.20 % *	169.65 - 207.35
Explanation of in Comptroller	Variance: This number is similar to oth referrals.	er years during the pandemic a	nd, while lower than g	oals, is likely due to COVID-19-relate	ed decreases
Quarter 3	377.00	65.00	209.00	55.44 % *	263.90 - 301.60
	Variance: This number is similar to oth				

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of	Administrative Hearings			
<b>T</b> (2) <b>D</b> (	2022	2022	2022	Percent of	
Type/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	Target	Actual	YTD	Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
6 NUMBER OF TAX D	ECISIONS ISSUED				
Quarter 4	377.00	65.00	274.00	72.68 % *	358.15 - 395.85
Explanation o	<u>f Variance</u> : This number is similar to othe	er years during the pandemic	and, while lower than	goals, is driven by Comptroller referra	ls.
Efficiency Measures					
<u>1-1-1 CONDUCT HEARIN</u>	IGS				
1 AVG DAYS FROM RI					
Quarter 1	40.00	24.87	24.87	62.18 % *	38.00 - 42.00
Explanation o	f Variance: Decrease in travel and admini	istrative tasks has allowed AI	Js to spend more of th	eir time resolving cases.	
Quarter 2	40.00	28.06	26.60	66.50 % *	38.00 - 42.00
Explanation o	f Variance: Decrease in travel and admini	istrative tasks has allowed AI	Js to spend more of th	eir time resolving cases.	
Quarter 3	40.00	23.31	25.48	63.70 % *	38.00 - 42.0

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

ency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of	of Administrative Hearings			
e/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2022 Target	2022 Actual	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
ficiency Measures					
1 AVG DAYS FROM RECO	RD CLOSE TO PFD				
Quarter 4	40.00	32.36	27.23	68.08 % *	38.00 - 42.0
Explanation of Var	iance: Decrease in travel and adm	inistrative tasks has allowed Al	LJs to spend more of th	eir time resolving cases.	
2 MEDIAN # DAYS TO DIS	POSE CASE				
Quarter 1	75.00	98.50	98.50	131.33 % *	71.25 - 78.7
Explanation of Var	iance: A small number of outlier c	ases, caused by pandemic relat	ted delay and reported u	understaffing at HHSC, has skewed th	is number.
Quarter 2	75.00	104.00	103.00	137.33 % *	71.25 - 78.7
-	iance: The median number of days oncludes following litigation in the	-		ery old cases that cannot be resolved un	ntil the
Quarter 3	75.00	94.00	100.00	133.33 % *	71.25 - 78.7
-	iance: The median number of days oncludes following litigation in the	-		ery old cases that cannot be resolved u	ntil the
Quarter 4	75.00	105.00	101.00	134.67 % *	71.25 - 78.7
Explanation of Var	iance: The median number of days	s to dispose a case has been inc	creased by a group of ve	ery old cases that cannot be resolved u	ntil the
appellate process c	oncludes following litigation in the	Texas and United States Supr	eme Courts.		

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

gency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of Administrative Hearings				
ype/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2022 Target	2022 Actual	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
3 DAYS TO ISSUE A TA	X DECISION				
Quarter 1	9.00	7.91	7.91	87.89 % *	8.55 - 9.4
	<u>Variance:</u> Decrease in travel and admi ty during COVID-19 has impacted Con		LJS to spend more of th	eir ume resolving cases. Moreover, d	ecrease in
Quarter 2	9.00	9.84	8.69	96.56 %	8.55 - 9.4
Quarter 3	9.00	11.88	9.67	107.44 % *	8.55 - 9.4
-	<u>Variance:</u> The departure of a tax ALJ a anagement system, has temporarily rais	-	-	ve delays related to the implementation	n of a new
Quarter 4	9.00	19.08	11.91	132.33 % *	8.55 - 9.4
	Variance: The departure of a tax ALJ a anagement system, has temporarily rais	-	-	ve delays related to the implementation	n of a new
1-2-1 CONDUCT ALT DISP					
1 MEDIAN # DAYS TO	DISPOSE ADR CASES				
Quarter 1	90.00	93.50	93.50	103.89 %	85.50 - 94.50

10/6/2022 10:59:17AM

## 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

Agency code: 360	Agency name: State Office o	Agency name: State Office of Administrative Hearings					
	2022	2022	2022	Percent of			
[ype/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	Target	Actual	YTD	Annual Target	Target Range		
Efficiency Measures							
1 MEDIAN # DAYS TO	DISPOSE ADR CASES						
Quarter 2	90.00	86.00	93.00	103.33 %	85.50 - 94.50		
Quarter 3	90.00	114.50	97.00	107.78 % *	85.50 - 94.50		
	of Variance: This increase is attributable to allow investigations to proceed using				quite some		
Quarter 4	90.00	67.00	94.00	104.44 %	85.50 - 94.50		

<sup>\*</sup> Varies by 5% or more from target.

# ACTUAL PERFORMANCE FOR EXPLANATORY MEASURES 360 - State Office of Administrative Hearings Fiscal Year 2022 10/6/2022

# Explanatory Measures with Cover Page and Update Explanation

87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

10/6/2022 1	0:59:35AM
-------------	-----------

Agency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of A	dministrative Hearing	gs	
<b>[ype</b> / <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2022 Target	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	
Explanatory/Input Measures				
1-1-1 CONDUCT HEARINGS	<u>S</u>			
1 NUMBER OF ALR CAS	ES RECEIVED			
	22,800.00	23,064.00	101.16	%
2 NUMBER OF GENERA	L CASES RECEIVED			
	4,900.00	3,585.00	73.16	% *
	onths. This is a longstanding pattern, bu	-	-	c protocols, referrals from other agencies have fallen off ndemic recovery.
	50.00	46.00	92.00	% *
Explanation of Varian the Summer months.	<u>ce:</u> The fall off in enforcement referrals	over the Summer enco	ompasses some agencie	s that have not referred any enforcement cases during
1-2-1 CONDUCT ALT DISPU   1 # ALT DISPUTE RES CA				
	110.00	212.00	192.73	0⁄0 *
Explanation of Varian	ce: Greater efficiency in alternative disp	oute resolution appears	s to have driven a highe	er number of mediation requests over the past year.

<sup>\*</sup> Varies by 5% or more from target.

ACTUAL PERFORMANCE FOR OUTCOME MEASURES 360 - State Office of Administrative Hearings Fiscal Year 2022 10/6/2022

	Outcomes with Cover Page and Update Explanation	DATE:	10/6/2022
	87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting	TIME:	10:59:55AM
	Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)	PAGE:	2 OF 2
Agency code: 360	Agency name: State Office of Administrative Hearings		

Type/Objective/Measure	2022 Target	2022 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<u>1-1 HEARINGS</u>				
1 PERCENT OF PARTICIPANTS SATISFIED	92.00 %	84.61 %	91.97 % *	
Explanation of Variance: In this year, SOAH created an entire	ely new docketing system for AL	R and adopted a new	process for sharing exhibits prior to he	aring that mirrors

<u>Explanation of variance</u>. In this year, SOAH created an entirely new docketing system for ALR and adopted a new process for sharing exhibits prior to hearing that mirrors the practice in Texas district court. With the transition to the new docketing system for ALR cases, many parties encountered difficulties because they had to get information from a new system and because SOAH staff struggled to keep up with the volume of communications during the transition. In addition to the ordinary run of survey comments in which hearing participants are upset about the outcome of their case, this brought the overall survey result down an additional five percent. As the regulated community and the ALR community become more comfortable with the new system, and SOAH perfects its communications systems, we expect the numbers to return to normal.

## Prior YTD:

2 % TAX DECISIONS ISSUED W/IN 60 DAYS	100.00 %	98.18 %	98.18 %
Prior YTD:			
1-2 ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION			
1 % OF PARTICIPANTS SATISFIED W/ ADR	94.00 %	90.17 %	95.93 %

## Prior YTD:

### 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agenc	y: State Office of Administrative Hearings
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process
Objective No.	1	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner
Outcome No.	1	Percentage of Participants Surveyed Satisfied with Overall Process

Calculation Method: N Target Attainment: H Priority: H Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01 OC 01

Key Measure: Y New Measure: N Percent Measure: Y

## BL 2022 Definition

"Overall process" includes all actions by SOAH, beginning with setting of hearing, continuing through the hearing and presentation of PFD.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

Calculation of this measure is necessarily limited to the percentage of survey responses received. In addition, given the nature of SOAH's function as a quasi-judicial tribunal with winners and losers in each case, the receipt of some negative responses is expected.

## BL 2022 Data Source

Survey

## BL 2022 Methodology

Eligible parties are identified from General Docket and ALR databases. Emails directing parties to the online survey or hard copy surveys are sent. Confidential cases are eliminated from the mailing, as needed. A vendor provided survey tool is used to collect and compile the survey information. The survey tool generates reports calculating the percentage of participants satisfied with the overall process.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This survey allows SOAH to receive feedback from hearing participants and to monitor the participants' overall satisfaction with the hearings process.

## BL 2023 Definition

"Overall process" includes all actions by SOAH, beginning with setting of hearing, continuing through the hearing and presentation of PFD.

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

Calculation of this measure is necessarily limited to the percentage of survey responses received. In addition, given the nature of SOAH's function as a quasi-judicial tribunal with winners and losers in each case, the receipt of some negative responses is expected.

## BL 2023 Data Source

Survey

## BL 2023 Methodology

Eligible parties are identified from General Docket and ALR databases. Emails directing parties to the online survey or hard copy surveys are sent. Confidential cases are eliminated from the mailing, as needed. A vendor provided survey tool is used to collect and compile the survey information. The survey tool generates reports calculating the percentage of participants satisfied with the overall process.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This survey allows SOAH to receive feedback from hearing participants and to monitor the participants' overall satisfaction with the hearings process.

### 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency	State Office of Administrative Hearings
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process
Objective No.	1	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner

Outcome No. 2 % of Proposed Tax Decisions Issued within 60 Days of Record Closing

Calculation Method: N Target Attainment: H Priority: M Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01 OC 02

Key Measure: Y New Measure: N Percent Measure: Y

## BL 2022 Definition

This measure identifies the number (stated in percent) of Tax Division PFDs issued within 60 calendar days of the date the record closed.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2022 Data Source

Tax Division ALJs, Docket Change forms, and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that lists all Tax Division cases where PFDs were issued during the pertinent reporting period and, for each case listed, provides the date the record closed and the date the tax PFD was issued. The report computes the number of days between the record closed date and the PFD issuance date. The number of tax PFDs that were issued within 60 calendar days is totaled and then divided by the total number of tax PFDs issued during the reporting period to compute the percentage of tax PFDs issued with 60 calendar days (equivalent to 40 working days).

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure is an indication of the timeliness of the PFDs issued by the Tax Division ALJs for the Tax cases.

## BL 2023 Definition

This measure identifies the number (stated in percent) of Tax Division PFDs issued within 60 calendar days of the date the record closed.

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2023 Data Source

Tax Division ALJs, Docket Change forms, and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that lists all Tax Division cases where PFDs were issued during the pertinent reporting period and, for each case listed, provides the date the record closed and the date the tax PFD was issued. The report computes the number of days between the record closed date and the PFD issuance date. The number of tax PFDs that were issued within 60 calendar days is totaled and then divided by the total number of tax PFDs issued during the reporting period to compute the percentage of tax PFDs issued with 60 calendar days (equivalent to 40 working days).

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure is an indication of the timeliness of the PFDs issued by the Tax Division ALJs for the Tax cases.

## 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency	State Office of Administrative Hearings
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process
Objective No.	2	Provide an Opportunity for Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings
Outcome No.	1	Percentage of Participants Surveyed Satisfied with Overall ADR Process

Calculation Method: N Target Attainment: H Priority: H Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-02 OC 01

Key Measure: Y New Measure: N Percent Measure: Y

## BL 2022 Definition

"Overall process" includes all actions by SOAH related to the ADR process.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

Calculation of this measure is necessarily limited to the percentage of survey responses received. In addition, given the nature of SOAH's function as a quasi-judicial tribunal with winners and losers in each case, the receipt of some negative responses is expected.

## BL 2022 Data Source

Survey

## BL 2022 Methodology

Eligible parties are identified from General Docket and ALR databases. Emails directing parties to the online survey or hard copy surveys are sent. Confidential cases are eliminated from the mailing, as needed. A vendor provided survey tool is used to collect and compile the survey information. The survey tool generates reports calculating the percentage of participants satisfied with the overall ADR process.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This survey allows SOAH to receive feedback from ADR participants and to monitor the participants' overall satisfaction with the ADR process to monitor the participants' overall satisfaction with the mediation process.

## BL 2023 Definition

"Overall process" includes all actions by SOAH related to the ADR process.

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

Calculation of this measure is necessarily limited to the percentage of survey responses received. In addition, given the nature of SOAH's function as a quasi-judicial tribunal with winners and losers in each case, the receipt of some negative responses is expected.

## BL 2023 Data Source

Survey

## BL 2023 Methodology

Eligible parties are identified from General Docket and ALR databases. Emails directing parties to the online survey or hard copy surveys are sent. Confidential cases are eliminated from the mailing, as needed. A vendor provided survey tool is used to collect and compile the survey information. The survey tool generates reports calculating the percentage of participants satisfied with the overall ADR process.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This survey allows SOAH to receive feedback from ADR participants and to monitor the participants' overall satisfaction with the ADR process to monitor the participants' overall satisfaction with the mediation process.

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency:	State Office of Administrative Hearings	5		
Goal No.	1 Pr	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process			
Objective No.	1 Er	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner			
Strategy No.	1 Co	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders			
Measure Type	EF				
Measure No.	1 Av	Average # of Days from Close of Record to PFD or Final Order Issuance			
Calculation Method: N	Target Attainme	ent: L Priority: H	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EF 01		
Key Measure: Y	New Measure: 1	N Percentage Measure: N			

## BL 2022 Definition

This measure identifies the average number of calendar days following the close of the record to the issuance of the Proposal for Decisions (PFD) or final order for all General Docket hearings during the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2022 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change forms, Billing entries and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that calculates the total number of calendar days from close of record to issuance of the Proposals for Decision (PFD) or final orders for all hearings during the reporting period, and divides this number by the total number of PFDs or final orders. The resulting number is the average number of days from the date the record closes to the issuance of a PFD.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure monitors the amount of time for issuance of an ALJ decision once the record has closed.

## BL 2023 Definition

This measure identifies the average number of calendar days following the close of the record to the issuance of the Proposal for Decisions (PFD) or final order for all General Docket hearings during the reporting period.

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2023 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change forms, Billing entries and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that calculates the total number of calendar days from close of record to issuance of the Proposals for Decision (PFD) or final orders for all hearings during the reporting period, and divides this number by the total number of PFDs or final orders. The resulting number is the average number of days from the date the record closes to the issuance of a PFD.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure monitors the amount of time for issuance of an ALJ decision once the record has closed.

## Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency	: State O	ffice of Administrative Hearings	
Goal No.	1	Provide for	a Fair and Efficient Administrativ	e Hearings Process
Objective No.	1	Ensure that	All Hearings are Conducted in a H	Fair and Impartial Manner
Strategy No.	1	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders		
Measure Type	EF			
Measure No.	2	Median Nu	mber of Days to Dispose Case	
Calculation Method: N	Target Attai	nment: L	Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EF 02
Key Measure: Y	New Measu	re: N	Percentage Measure: N	

### BL 2022 Definition

The median number of days between the date that the General Docket case is received by SOAH and the day that the case is finally disposed.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is partially dependent upon whether the parties are ready to immediately proceed to hearing or request continuances. It is also impacted by interlocutory appeals to district court or to agencies which delay the process.

## BL 2022 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change forms and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that counts, for each case, the number of calendar days between the date that the case is received by SOAH and the day that the case is finally disposed by SOAH during the reporting period, and calculates the median number of days for those cases disposed in the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure provides an indication of the efficiency of the administrative hearings process.

## BL 2023 Definition

The median number of days between the date that the General Docket case is received by SOAH and the day that the case is finally disposed.

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is partially dependent upon whether the parties are ready to immediately proceed to hearing or request continuances. It is also impacted by interlocutory appeals to district court or to agencies which delay the process.

#### BL 2023 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change forms and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

#### BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that counts, for each case, the number of calendar days between the date that the case is received by SOAH and the day that the case is finally disposed by SOAH during the reporting period, and calculates the median number of days for those cases disposed in the reporting period.

# BL 2023 Purpose

This measure provides an indication of the efficiency of the administrative hearings process.

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency:	State Office of Administrative Hearing	3		
Goal No.	1 Pr	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process			
Objective No.	1 E1	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner			
Strategy No.	1 Co	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders			
Measure Type	EF				
Measure No.	3 Av	Avg Days to Issue Proposed Tax Decision Following Record Closing			
Calculation Method: N	Target Attainme	ent: L Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EF 03		
Key Measure: Y	New Measure:	N Percentage Measure: N			

### BL 2022 Definition

This measure identifies the average number of calendar days following the close of the record that Tax Division ALJs took to issue tax PFDs.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2022 Data Source

Tax ALJs, Docket Change forms, and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

#### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that lists all Tax Division cases where PFDs were issued during the pertinent reporting period and, for each case listed, provides the date the record closed and the date the tax PFD was issued. The report computes the number of days between the record closed date and the PFD issuance date for each case, and the sum of the days represents the total number of calendar days for all cases in the reporting period. The resulting sum is divided by the total number of PFDs issued during the reporting period for Tax Division cases to calculate the average number of calendar days between the record closed date and the PFD issuance date for all Tax Division cases during the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure captures the efficiency of the Tax Division ALJs in issuing tax PFDs.

#### BL 2023 Definition

This measure identifies the average number of calendar days following the close of the record that Tax Division ALJs took to issue tax PFDs.

### BL 2023 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2023 Data Source

Tax ALJs, Docket Change forms, and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2023 Methodology

## Strategy-Related Measures Definitions 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that lists all Tax Division cases where PFDs were issued during the pertinent reporting period and, for each case listed, provides the date the record closed and the date the tax PFD was issued. The report computes the number of days between the record closed date and the PFD issuance date for each case, and the sum of the days represents the total number of calendar days for all cases in the reporting period. The resulting sum is divided by the total number of PFDs issued during the reporting period for Tax Division cases to calculate the average number of calendar days between the record closed date and the PFD issuance date for all Tax Division cases during the reporting period.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure captures the efficiency of the Tax Division ALJs in issuing tax PFDs.

## Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency:	State Office of Administrative Hearing	38	
Goal No.	1 F	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrat	tive Hearings Process	
Objective No.	1 H	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner		
Strategy No.	1 0	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders		
Measure Type	EX			
Measure No.	1 N	Number of Administrative License Revocation Cases Received		
Calculation Method: N	Target Attainm	nent: H Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EX 01	
Key Measure: Y	New Measure:	:: N Percentage Measure: N		

### BL 2022 Definition

The number of Administrative License Revocation (ALR) cases that are referred by the Department of Public Safety to SOAH.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the number of cases referred by the Department of Public Safety.

## BL 2022 Data Source

Request to Docket Case form and SOAH's ALR database.

### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from SOAH's ALR database that counts the total number of cases referred by the Department of Public Safety to SOAH during the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure tracks the number of cases referred by the Department of Public Safety and serves as an indicator of SOAH's workload.

## BL 2023 Definition

The number of Administrative License Revocation (ALR) cases that are referred by the Department of Public Safety to SOAH.

#### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the number of cases referred by the Department of Public Safety.

### BL 2023 Data Source

Request to Docket Case form and SOAH's ALR database.

#### BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from SOAH's ALR database that counts the total number of cases referred by the Department of Public Safety to SOAH during the reporting period.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure tracks the number of cases referred by the Department of Public Safety and serves as an indicator of SOAH's workload.

## Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

			Au	tomated Budget and Evaluation Sys	tem of Texas (ABEST)		
Agency Code:	360	Agency:	State	Office of Administrative Hearings			
Goal No.		1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process				
Objective	No.	1	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner				
Strategy N	lo.	1	Conduct H	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders			
Measure T		EX					
Measure N	lo.	2	Number o	f General Docket Cases Received			
Calculation Metho	d: N	Target Attain	ment: H	Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EX 02		
Key Measure: Y		New Measur	e: N	Percentage Measure: N			
BL 2022 Data So Request to Dock BL 2022 Method A report is gener BL 2022 Purpose This measure trac	dependen <u>urce</u> et Case fo <u>ology</u> ated from <u>2</u> cks the m	t upon the numb form and SOAH's n SOAH's databa	s CMS. se (CMS)	referred by other state agencies. hat counts the total number of cases other state agencies and serves as ar	s referred by other state agencies to SOAH during the reporting period.		
BL 2023 Definition		locket cases that	are referre	by agencies to SOAH.			
				i by ageneres to SOAII.			
BL 2023 Data Lin		-					
This measure is o	dependen	t upon the numb	er of cases	referred by other state agencies.			
<u>BL 2023 Data So</u>	ource						

Request to Docket Case form and SOAH's CMS.

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from SOAH's database (CMS) that counts the total number of cases referred by other state agencies to SOAH during the reporting period.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure tracks the number of cases referred by other state agencies and serves as an indicator of SOAH's workload.

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency:	State Office of Administrative Hearing	5				
Goal No.	1 Prov	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process					
Objective No.	1 Ens	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy No.	1 Con	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders					
Measure Type	EX						
Measure No.	3 Nun	nber of Agencies Served					
Calculation Method: N	Target Attainmen	t: H Priority: L	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EX 03				
Key Measure: Y	New Measure: N	Percentage Measure: N					

## BL 2022 Definition

The Hearings Activity Report Process (HARP) system records all cases transferred to SOAH's jurisdiction and is used to count the number of agencies for which SOAH has docketed new cases; re-set previously docketed cases; held prehearings/post-hearings and/or hearings; and/or issued PFDs.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon jurisdiction changes, agency structural changes (i.e., abolished, merged, consolidated) and legislation.

### BL 2022 Data Source

Request to Docket Case form, Case Management System (CMS) and HARP

#### BL 2022 Methodology

The total number of agencies served for the reporting period is counted.

#### BL 2022 Purpose

This measure serves as an indicator of the volume of SOAH's customer base for its workload.

## BL 2023 Definition

The Hearings Activity Report Process (HARP) system records all cases transferred to SOAH's jurisdiction and is used to count the number of agencies for which SOAH has docketed new cases; re-set previously docketed cases; held prehearings/post-hearings and/or hearings; and/or issued PFDs.

#### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon jurisdiction changes, agency structural changes (i.e., abolished, merged, consolidated) and legislation.

### BL 2023 Data Source

Request to Docket Case form, Case Management System (CMS) and HARP

## BL 2023 Methodology

The total number of agencies served for the reporting period is counted.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure serves as an indicator of the volume of SOAH's customer base for its workload.

## Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency	: State C	office of Administrative Hearings				
Goal No.	1	Provide for	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process				
Objective No.	1	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy No.	1	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders					
Measure Type	EX						
Measure No.	4	Number of	Complaints Received Regarding H	Hearing Process			
Calculation Method: N	Target Attai	nment: L	Priority: H	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EX 04			
Key Measure: N	New Measu	re: N	Percentage Measure: N				

### BL 2022 Definition

Total number of written formal complaints received by SOAH during the reporting period from referring agencies and/or outside parties, pertaining to the hearings process.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the participants filing a complaint with SOAH relating to the hearing process. In addition, it might also be dependent upon the ruling received by the participants (i.e., if an unfavorable decision was received, the participants might be more inclined to respond negatively).

## BL 2022 Data Source

Referring agencies and outside parties

#### BL 2022 Methodology

Total number of written complaints received by SOAH are counted for the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure serves to count the complaints received from individuals not satisfied with the hearings process.

## BL 2023 Definition

Total number of written formal complaints received by SOAH during the reporting period from referring agencies and/or outside parties, pertaining to the hearings process.

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the participants filing a complaint with SOAH relating to the hearing process. In addition, it might also be dependent upon the ruling received by the participants (i.e., if an unfavorable decision was received, the participants might be more inclined to respond negatively).

#### BL 2023 Data Source

Referring agencies and outside parties

## BL 2023 Methodology

Total number of written complaints received by SOAH are counted for the reporting period.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure serves to count the complaints received from individuals not satisfied with the hearings process.

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency: State Offic	e of Administrative Hearings					
Goal No.	1 Provide for a F	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process					
Objective No.	1 Ensure that All	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy No.	1 Conduct Hearin	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders					
Measure Type	EX						
Measure No.	5 Percent of PFD	s Changed, Vacated or Modif	ied by Governing Boards				
Calculation Method: N	Target Attainment: L	Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 EX 05				
Key Measure: N	New Measure: N P	ercentage Measure: Y					

### BL 2022 Definition

A record is maintained in the Case Management System (CMS) of all PFDs issued. A record is also maintained of all signed Orders returned to SOAH by referring agencies.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the referring agency forwarding its board's final Order for each hearing.

## BL 2022 Data Source

Referring agencies, ALJs, SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated of agency orders returned to SOAH that reflect substantive changes to proposed findings or conclusions, or reflect that the PFDs have been vacated or modified by the governing boards and/or commissions. The number of final Orders reflecting a change, modification or a vacating, divided by the total number of PFDs issued, multiplied by 100 (to present data in percentage format), yields the percentage changed, vacated or modified.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure counts the number (stated as percent) of decisions (non-ALR) issued by an ALJ that are not upheld by a referring agency's governing board.

## BL 2023 Definition

A record is maintained in the Case Management System (CMS) of all PFDs issued. A record is also maintained of all signed Orders returned to SOAH by referring agencies.

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the referring agency forwarding its board's final Order for each hearing.

### BL 2023 Data Source

Referring agencies, ALJs, SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2023 Methodology

## Strategy-Related Measures Definitions 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

A report is generated of agency orders returned to SOAH that reflect substantive changes to proposed findings or conclusions, or reflect that the PFDs have been vacated or modified by the governing boards and/or commissions. The number of final Orders reflecting a change, modification or a vacating, divided by the total number of PFDs issued, multiplied by 100 (to present data in percentage format), yields the percentage changed, vacated or modified.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure counts the number (stated as percent) of decisions (non-ALR) issued by an ALJ that are not upheld by a referring agency's governing board.

## Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency: State Office of Administrative Hearings
Goal No.	1 Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process
Objective No.	1 Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner
Strategy No.	1 Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders
Measure Type	OP
Measure No.	1 Number of Hours Billed (General Docket Hearings and ALR Hearings)
Calculation Method: C	Target Attainment: HPriority: MCross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 OP 01
Key Measure: Y	New Measure: N Percentage Measure: N

### BL 2022 Definition

The total number of hours billed on cases for services provided during the reporting period is obtained through SOAH's time database.

#### BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the amount of work referred to SOAH by other state agencies.

# BL 2022 Data Source

SOAH's time database.

### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from a SOAH database for the reporting period which calculates the number of hours billed.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure tracks the amount of billed work performed by SOAH ALJs and, when authorized by interagency contract, paralegals or administrative assistants.

## BL 2023 Definition

The total number of hours billed on cases for services provided during the reporting period is obtained through SOAH's time database.

#### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the amount of work referred to SOAH by other state agencies.

### BL 2023 Data Source

SOAH's time database.

### BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from a SOAH database for the reporting period which calculates the number of hours billed.

#### BL 2023 Purpose

This measure tracks the amount of billed work performed by SOAH ALJs and, when authorized by interagency contract, paralegals or administrative assistants.

# Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency: Sta	ate Office of Administrative Hearing	\$				
Goal No.	1 Provid	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process					
Objective No.	1 Ensure	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy No.	1 Condu	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders					
Measure Type	OP						
Measure No.	2 Numb	er of Administrative License Revocati	on Cases Disposed				
Calculation Method: C	Target Attainment:	H Priority: L	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 OP 02				
Key Measure: Y	New Measure: N	Percentage Measure: N					

#### BL 2022 Definition

All ALR cases disposed are entered into the ALR database and counted.

### BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the number of DWI arrests resulting in a request for hearing at SOAH and the accuracy of the ALR database which is owned and controlled by DPS.

### BL 2022 Data Source

Final Orders recorded in the ALR database.

#### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the ALR database with a count of cases decided (i.e., disposed) during the reporting period.

### BL 2022 Purpose

This measure serves as a means to determine the number of ALR cases disposed during the reporting period.

### BL 2023 Definition

All ALR cases disposed are entered into the ALR database and counted.

#### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the number of DWI arrests resulting in a request for hearing at SOAH and the accuracy of the ALR database which is owned and controlled by DPS.

### BL 2023 Data Source

Final Orders recorded in the ALR database.

#### BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the ALR database with a count of cases decided (i.e., disposed) during the reporting period.

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure serves as a means to determine the number of ALR cases disposed during the reporting period.

## Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency	: State O	office of Administrative Hearings				
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process					
Objective No.	1	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy No.	1	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders					
Measure Type	OP						
Measure No.	3	Number of	General Docket Cases Disposed				
Calculation Method: C	Target Attai	nment: H	Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 OP 03			
Key Measure: Y	New Measu	re: N	Percentage Measure: N				

### BL 2022 Definition

The number of General Docket cases for which SOAH transmits to the referring agency a Proposal for Decision or a final Order during the reporting period.

### BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the number of cases referred by other state agencies.

## BL 2022 Data Source

Docket Change Forms recorded in CMS.

### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the CMS database with a count of final Orders issued during the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure indicates the number of General Docket cases disposed during the reporting period.

## BL 2023 Definition

The number of General Docket cases for which SOAH transmits to the referring agency a Proposal for Decision or a final Order during the reporting period.

#### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent upon the number of cases referred by other state agencies.

## BL 2023 Data Source

Docket Change Forms recorded in CMS.

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the CMS database with a count of final Orders issued during the reporting period.

#### BL 2023 Purpose

This measure indicates the number of General Docket cases disposed during the reporting period.

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency	: State O	ffice of Administrative Hearings					
Goal No.	1	Provide for	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process					
Objective No.	1	Ensure that	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy No.	1	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders						
Measure Type	OP							
Measure No.	4	Percent of	Available Administrative Law Jud	ge Time Spent on Case Work				
Calculation Method: N	Target Attain		Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 OP 04				
Key Measure: Y	New Measu	re: N	Percentage Measure: Y					

### BL 2022 Definition

Amount of time recorded by Administrative Law Judges (ALJ) working on General Docket and Administrative License Revocation (ALR) cases as a percentage of total available time. This measure includes time spent on alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

### N/A

## BL 2022 Data Source

ALJ time entries for all casework and leave. Total available hours in each quarter.

#### BL 2022 Methodology

Identify the number of hours paid in the period utilizing payroll records. Subtract all holiday and leave hours taken by each ALJ to establish available time to work. Identify the number of hours charged to casework for each ALJ. Casework includes time working on ADR since the same ALJs work on both hearings and ADR. Divide total hours charged to casework by available time to work. Reflect calculation as a percentage.

## BL 2022 Purpose

To provide information on the utilization of ALJ time.

## BL 2023 Definition

Amount of time recorded by Administrative Law Judges (ALJ) working on General Docket and Administrative License Revocation (ALR) cases as a percentage of total available time. This measure includes time spent on alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

## BL 2023 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2023 Data Source

ALJ time entries for all casework and leave. Total available hours in each quarter.

## BL 2023 Methodology

## Strategy-Related Measures Definitions 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Identify the number of hours paid in the period utilizing payroll records. Subtract all holiday and leave hours taken by each ALJ to establish available time to work. Identify the number of hours charged to casework for each ALJ. Casework includes time working on ADR since the same ALJs work on both hearings and ADR. Divide total hours charged to casework by available time to work. Reflect calculation as a percentage.

## BL 2023 Purpose

To provide information on the utilization of ALJ time.

a 111	360	Agency:	State Of	ffice of Administrative Hearings				
Goal No.		1		a Fair and Efficient Administrative H				
Objective		1	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy N		1	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders					
Measure 7		OP						
Measure N	No.	5	Percent of C	Case Time Spent on General Docket (	Non-ALR) Cases			
Calculation Metho	od: N	Target Attain	nment: H	Priority: L	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 OP 05			
Key Measure: N		New Measur	re: N	Percentage Measure: Y				
	ortionate a		case time wor	ked by ALJs on General Docket (nor	n-ALR) cases.			
<u>BL 2022 Data Li</u> N/A	imitations							
<u>BL 2022 Data Sc</u> General Docket		databases.						
BL 2022 Method General Docket		led by all case t	ime.					
Seneral Ducket								
	2							
BL 2022 Purpose		w much of the A	ALJ workload	d is spent on General Docket (non-Al	LR) cases.			
BL 2022 Purpose This measure inc	dicates ho	w much of the A	ALJ workload	d is spent on General Docket (non-Al	LR) cases.			
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti	dicates ho <u>ion</u>							
BL 2022 Purpose This measure inc BL 2023 Definiti The proportional	dicates ho <u>ion</u> te amount	of total case tin		d is spent on General Docket (non-Al y ALJs on General Docket (non-ALR				
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportional BL 2023 Data Li	dicates ho <u>ion</u> te amount	of total case tin						
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportional	dicates ho <u>ion</u> te amount	of total case tin						
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportional BL 2023 Data Li N/A	dicates ho <u>ion</u> te amount imitations	of total case tin						
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportional BL 2023 Data Li N/A BL 2023 Data Sc	dicates ho ion te amount imitations ource	of total case tir						
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportionat BL 2023 Data Li N/A BL 2023 Data Sc General Docket	dicates ho ion te amount imitations ource and ALR	of total case tir						
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportional BL 2023 Data Li N/A BL 2023 Data Sc General Docket BL 2023 Method	dicates ho ion te amount imitations ource and ALR lology	of total case tin	ne worked by					
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportionat BL 2023 Data Li N/A BL 2023 Data Sc General Docket	dicates ho ion te amount imitations ource and ALR lology	of total case tin	ne worked by					
BL 2022 Purpose This measure ind BL 2023 Definiti The proportional BL 2023 Data Li N/A BL 2023 Data Sc General Docket BL 2023 Method	dicates ho ion te amount imitations ource and ALR dology time divid	of total case tin	ne worked by					

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Goal No.	1 Provide for	1 Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process					
Objective No.	1 Ensure that	Ensure that All Hearings are Conducted in a Fair and Impartial Manner					
Strategy No.	1 Conduct H	Conduct Hearings and Prepare Proposals for Decisions and Final Orders					
Measure Type	OP						
Measure No.	6 # of Propo	sals for Decision Related to Tax H	earings Issued by ALJs				
Calculation Method: C	Target Attainment: H	Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-01-01 OP 06				
Key Measure: Y	New Measure: N	Percentage Measure: N					
BL 2022 Definition							
TTI : C	managuna analia ta idantifu th	www.hon.of.man.org.l.fon.dogiciong	issued during the reporting period by ALJs in SOAH's Tax Division.				

#### N/A

## BL 2022 Data Source

Tax ALJs, Docket Change forms, and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that lists and totals the number of Tax PFDs issued during the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

The purpose of this measure is to track the number of proposals for decisions issued in contested tax cases.

## BL 2023 Definition

This performance measure seeks to identify the number of proposal for decisions issued during the reporting period by ALJs in SOAH's Tax Division.

### BL 2023 Data Limitations

N/A

## BL 2023 Data Source

Tax ALJs, Docket Change forms, and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that lists and totals the number of Tax PFDs issued during the reporting period.

# Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency:	State Office of Administrati	ve Hearings			
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process				
Objective No.	2	Provide an Opportunity for Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings				
Strategy No.	1	Conduct Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings				
Measure Type	EF					
Measure No.	1	Median Number of Days to Disp	bose Alternative Dispute Resolution Cases			
Calculation Method: N	Target Attainr	ment: L Priority	<b>Y: M</b> Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-02-01 EF 01			
Key Measure: Y	New Measure	e: N Percentage Measu	ire: N			

### BL 2022 Definition

The median number of days between the date an Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) case is received by SOAH and the day the case is finally disposed.

### BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is partially dependent upon whether the parties are ready to immediately proceed to mediation or arbitration.

### BL 2022 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change forms and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that counts, for each case, the number of calendar days between the date that the ADR case is received by SOAH and the day that the case is finally disposed by SOAH during the reporting period, and calculates the median number of days for those cases disposed in the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure provides an indication of the efficiency of the ADR program.

## BL 2023 Definition

The median number of days between the date an Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) case is received by SOAH and the day the case is finally disposed.

### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is partially dependent upon whether the parties are ready to immediately proceed to mediation or arbitration.

#### BL 2023 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change forms and SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

#### BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) that counts, for each case, the number of calendar days between the date that the ADR case is received by SOAH and the day that the case is finally disposed by SOAH during the reporting period, and calculates the median number of days for those cases disposed in the reporting period.

# BL 2023 Purpose

This measure provides an indication of the efficiency of the ADR program.

# Strategy-Related Measures Definitions

## 87th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency:	State Office	of Administrative Hearings				
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process					
Objective No.	2	Provide an Opportunity for Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings					
Strategy No.	1	Conduct Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings					
Measure Type	EX						
Measure No.	1	Number of Alte	rnative Dispute Resolution Ca	ases Requested or Referred			
Calculation Method: N	Target Attain	ment: H	Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-02-01 EX 01			
Key Measure: Y	New Measure	e: N Pe	ercentage Measure: N				

## BL 2022 Definition

All mediation or arbitration cases referred.

### BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent on the number of mediations requested by parties or referred by ALJs, and the number of arbitrations elected by parties cases referred by an ALJ or other state agencies.

### BL 2022 Data Source

ALJs, Request to Docket Case form, Docket Change form, SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

#### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) totaling the number of ADR requests received (e.g., requested or referred).

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure counts the number of mediations requested and arbitrations elected by parties or state agencies, or cases in which an ALJ suggests mediation and the parties agree to mediation.

### BL 2023 Definition

All mediation or arbitration cases referred.

#### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent on the number of mediations requested by parties or referred by ALJs, and the number of arbitrations elected by parties cases referred by an ALJ or other state agencies.

### BL 2023 Data Source

ALJs, Request to Docket Case form, Docket Change form, SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the database (CMS) totaling the number of ADR requests received (e.g., requested or referred).

## BL 2023 Purpose

This measure counts the number of mediations requested and arbitrations elected by parties or state agencies, or cases in which an ALJ suggests mediation and the parties agree to mediation.

# Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency:	State O	ffice of Administrative Hearings			
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process				
Objective No.	2	Provide an Opportunity for Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings				
Strategy No.	1	Conduct Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings				
Measure Type	OP					
Measure No.	1	Number of	Hours Billed to Alternative Dispu	te Resolution Cases		
Calculation Method: C	Target Attain	ment: H	Priority: M	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-02-01 OP 01		
Key Measure: N	New Measure	e: N	Percentage Measure: N			

### BL 2022 Definition

The total number of hours billed on mediation and arbitration proceedings (excluding mediations in TCEQ cases conducted by TCEQ).

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent on the number of mediation and arbitration cases referred as well as the varying complexity.

## BL 2022 Data Source

ALJs, SOAH time database.

### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the SOAH time database that totals the number of hours billed on mediation and arbitration events and/or cases for the reporting period.

## BL 2022 Purpose

This measure indicates the number of hours of SOAH's workload spent in mediation and arbitration proceedings.

### BL 2023 Definition

The total number of hours billed on mediation and arbitration proceedings (excluding mediations in TCEQ cases conducted by TCEQ).

#### BL 2023 Data Limitations

This measure is dependent on the number of mediation and arbitration cases referred as well as the varying complexity.

#### BL 2023 Data Source

ALJs, SOAH time database.

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the SOAH time database that totals the number of hours billed on mediation and arbitration events and/or cases for the reporting period.

#### BL 2023 Purpose

This measure indicates the number of hours of SOAH's workload spent in mediation and arbitration proceedings.

## Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 360	Agency	: State C	Office of Administrative Hearings		
Goal No.	1	Provide for a Fair and Efficient Administrative Hearings Process			
Objective No.	2	Provide an Opportunity for Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings			
Strategy No.	1	1 Conduct Alternative Dispute Resolution Proceedings		eedings	
Measure Type	OP				
Measure No.	2	2 Number of Cases Resolved through Alternative Dispute Resolution			
Calculation Method: C	Target Attai	nment: H	Priority: L	Cross Reference: Agy 360 086-R-S70-1 01-02-01 OP 02	
Key Measure: N New Measure: N		re: N	Percentage Measure: N		

### BL 2022 Definition

This includes the number of cases that are resolved through mediation (i.e., by agreement of the parties with the assistance of a mediator) and the number of final Orders issued in arbitrations, as well as the number of any other matters resolved by the use of other ADR processes.

## BL 2022 Data Limitations

Number of cases referred to ADR by ALJs or state agencies.

### BL 2022 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change form, SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

#### BL 2022 Methodology

A report is generated from the Case Management System (CMS) for the total number of cases resolved by mediation and ADR processes for the reporting period.

#### BL 2022 Purpose

This indicates the success of the ADR program.

## BL 2023 Definition

This includes the number of cases that are resolved through mediation (i.e., by agreement of the parties with the assistance of a mediator) and the number of final Orders issued in arbitrations, as well as the number of any other matters resolved by the use of other ADR processes.

### BL 2023 Data Limitations

Number of cases referred to ADR by ALJs or state agencies.

## BL 2023 Data Source

ALJs, Docket Change form, SOAH's Case Management System (CMS).

## BL 2023 Methodology

A report is generated from the Case Management System (CMS) for the total number of cases resolved by mediation and ADR processes for the reporting period.

BL 2023 Purpose

This indicates the success of the ADR program.